KENSINGTON BUSINESS SCHOOL
International Journal of Social Sciences and Management
(KBSIJSSM)
Home: kbsijssm.org/journal/ijssm | **E-ISSN: 2456-7890**

LEADERSHIP IN SUSTAINABLE WASTE MANAGEMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION: A DEVELOPING COUNTRY PERSPECTIVE

PROF. STEPHEN LAZI AKHERE Ph.D.,
+2348065086499, drstephenlazi@gmail.com

Jevi Ajemovi EDUZUKA
jevieduzuka@hotmail.com

Cletus E. BEBEFAGHA
beteco44@gmail.com

Matilda Kehinde IWUNOR
Mwmks7379@gmail.com

Chinonso Christian LAWRENCE
Officialchristianlaw@gmail.com

CENTRE FOR WASTSE MANAGEMENT AND SUBSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT
FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM RESOURCES, EFFURUN

Abstract

Sustainable waste management is a critical component of environmental protection and sustainable development, particularly in developing countries facing rapid urbanisation, population growth, inadequate infrastructure and limited financial resources. This paper examines the role of strategic leadership in promoting sustainable waste management and environmental protection, with particular reference to developing-country contexts such as Nigeria. It explores the relationships between strategic leadership, resource mobilisation, resource allocation and waste management performance. The discussion highlights how strategic planning, accountability, effective supervision, employee engagement and stakeholder participation can strengthen institutional capacity and improve waste collection, recycling, treatment and environmentally responsible disposal. It further examines the importance of adequate funding, skilled personnel, appropriate technology and effective resource allocation in improving operational efficiency and reducing environmental pollution. The paper also considers the contributions of regulatory enforcement, public awareness, public-private partnerships and circular economy practices to sustainable waste management. It argues that leadership effectiveness depends on the integration of sound governance, adequate resources, technological innovation and community participation. However, the statistical coefficients discussed are illustrative and require validation using actual empirical data before definitive conclusions can be drawn. The paper recommends strengthening leadership capacity, improving financing mechanisms, investing in waste management infrastructure, enhancing institutional accountability and establishing effective monitoring and evaluation systems. An integrated leadership approach can support environmental sustainability, public health protection, resource efficiency and inclusive development in developing countries.

Keywords: Strategic leadership; Sustainable waste management; Environmental protection; Resource mobilisation; Developing countries.

Introduction / Background

Sustainable waste management has become an important environmental, public health, governance and development concern, particularly in developing countries where urbanisation, population growth, commercial activities and changing consumption patterns increase the volume and complexity of waste generated. Effective waste management involves more than the collection and disposal of refuse. In Nigeria, waste management presents significant challenges because responsibility is distributed among different levels of government, environmental agencies, private waste operators, communities, households and informal waste workers. Where these stakeholders lack coordination, adequate funding, reliable infrastructure or effective supervision, waste may accumulate in residential areas, markets, drainage channels and waterways. Open dumping and uncontrolled burning can also contribute to soil, water and air pollution. These problems demonstrate that sustainable waste management is not simply a technical or sanitation issue; it is also a leadership and institutional-governance issue. Leadership is important because public officials, organisational managers and community leaders determine priorities, allocate resources, enforce regulations, mobilise citizens and encourage cooperation among stakeholders. Effective leaders can establish clear environmental objectives, support waste collection and recycling infrastructure, encourage responsible behaviour and ensure that public and private organisations are held accountable for their environmental performance. Weak leadership, however, may result in inconsistent policies, poor enforcement, inadequate public education and the inefficient use of environmental funds. Xu (2025), in a study of state-level waste management authorities in Nigeria, found that the presence of specialised waste management institutions was positively associated with sustainable household disposal practices in some circumstances, although the relationship weakened when regional economic conditions were considered. The study also identified the cost of waste collection as an important factor affecting households' willingness and ability to dispose of waste appropriately. Onungwe, Hunt and Jefferson (2023) examined the transition towards a circular economy in Nigeria's municipal solid waste management system. Their review identified institutional, infrastructural and implementation challenges that limit the development of more sustainable waste practices.

Leadership refers to the process through which individuals or groups influence others to establish objectives, coordinate activities, mobilise resources and achieve shared goals. In sustainable waste management, leadership can be exercised by elected officials, environmental regulators, local government administrators, waste management companies, traditional institutions, community organisations and

business executives. Its effectiveness depends on the capacity to develop a clear environmental vision, make decisions based on evidence, provide adequate resources, enforce standards, encourage participation and assess results. Onungwe et al. (2023) examined circular-economy implementation in Nigeria and identified existing resource-recovery activities, waste management policies and functional institutions as potential enablers, while inadequate modern disposal facilities remained important barriers. Amin, Alabi and Bamidele (2024), examining environmental protection policies and sustainable waste management systems in Kwara and Oyo States, contributed evidence on the importance of policy strategies for improving waste management. Nwanzu and Babalola's research, published online in 2024 and appearing in a 2025 journal issue, investigated ethical leadership, psychological ownership and employees' voluntary pro-environmental behaviour in selected organisations in Delta State, Nigeria. The study places leadership within the wider question of how employees develop a sense of responsibility for environmental outcomes. The expected relationship is that stronger and more accountable leadership can improve the implementation of waste management systems and thereby contribute to better environmental outcomes.. Moghadam, Loch and Niazi (2022) examined the mediating role of leadership-led change in the adoption of waste-to-energy solutions in Nigeria. Their research considered how attitudes, social expectations and intentions relate to the adoption of waste-to-energy technology. The authors reported that leadership-led change partially mediated the relationship between attitudes and intentions to adopt waste-to-energy.

.Onungwe, Hunt and Jefferson (2023) conducted a systematic review of the transition towards a circular economy in Nigeria's municipal solid waste management system. Their article, published in Sustainability, examined the barriers and opportunities associated with keeping materials in circulation rather than relying on disposal. The review identified the need to address institutional, infrastructural and implementation challenges. Amin, Alabi and Bamidele (2024) investigated strategies for environmental protection policies and sustainable waste management systems in Kwara and Oyo States. Their study focused on the role of policy strategies in improving waste management. Xu (2024) examined the effect of environmental regulation on sustainable household waste management in Nigeria. The study investigated the country's 2009 regulatory policy and the geographically uneven provision of waste bins. One of its central findings was that "Without facilities, the law alone generated no environmental effects." Osotuyi, Zhao, Abioye, Mgbachi and Falebita (2025) examined waste-separation behaviour in Nigeria and its implications for post-COVID-19 waste management strategies. Their research considered how households separate waste and how the findings could inform improved waste management approaches. Xu and Kang (2025) investigated the administrative mechanisms associated with sustainable waste disposal practices using national household survey data. They reported that specialised state-level waste management authorities were

positively associated with sustainable disposal practices in baseline estimates, although the association weakened after regional economic conditions were considered. The study also found that the affordability of collection services was an important part of the administrative mechanism. Nwanzu and Babalola's study, published online in 2024 and included in a 2025 journal issue, examined ethical leadership, psychological ownership and voluntary pro-environmental behaviour among employees in selected organisations in Delta State. Ezeudu and Ugochukwu (2026) also examined the contribution and economic potential of Nigeria's informal waste management sector within the circular economy. Their study considered the challenges and opportunities associated with integrating informal activities into broader waste management systems. Transformational Leadership Theory is associated with James MacGregor Burns, who introduced the distinction between transactional and transforming leadership in Leadership (1978). Bernard M. Bass subsequently developed the theory further in Leadership and Performance Beyond Expectations (1985). Transformational leadership emphasises the ability of leaders to communicate a compelling vision, motivate followers, encourage new ways of thinking and pay attention to individual development. In environmental management, these characteristics can help leaders move organisations beyond routine refuse collection towards waste prevention, recycling, resource recovery and long-term environmental responsibility. Moghadam et al. (2022) examined leadership-led change in the adoption of waste-to-energy in Nigeria and found a mediating role for leadership-led change in the relationship between attitudes and intentions. Leadership Theory is that it may place too much emphasis on the leader's vision and influence while giving insufficient attention to institutional constraints. A motivated leader cannot independently solve problems caused by inadequate funding, poor roads, insufficient disposal facilities or overlapping government responsibilities. The theory is therefore more useful when combined with a framework that explains the relationships among stakeholders. Stakeholder Theory is associated with R. Edward Freeman, who developed the modern strategic management formulation in Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach (1984). The theory argues that organisations should consider the interests of the groups that affect or are affected by their activities rather than focusing exclusively on one group. In sustainable waste management, stakeholders include federal and state governments, local councils, environmental regulators, private waste operators, households, market associations, recycling businesses, informal waste workers, community organisations and civil society groups. Onungwe et al. (2023) identified institutional arrangements and resource-recovery activities as important considerations in the transition towards a circular economy. Xu and Kang (2025) also demonstrated that the relationship between specialised waste management authorities and sustainable household disposal practices is influenced by economic conditions and collection costs.

Statement of the Problem

Sustainable waste management and environmental protection remain major development challenges in Nigeria and other developing countries. Rapid urbanisation, population growth, commercial activities, industrialisation and changing consumption patterns have increased the volume of municipal solid waste generated in residential areas, markets, commercial centres and industrial locations. However, the capacity of many waste management systems to collect, transport, recycle, treat and safely dispose of waste has not always kept pace with this increase. Consequently, indiscriminate dumping, blocked drainage channels, open burning, plastic pollution and the contamination of land and water continue to pose environmental and public health concerns. These challenges undermine environmental sustainability, reduce the quality of urban life and place additional pressure on already constrained public infrastructure. Although governments have introduced environmental policies, sanitation programmes and waste management regulations, the existence of these measures does not necessarily translate into effective implementation. Waste management performance may be affected by inadequate funding, insufficient equipment, limited technical expertise, weak monitoring, inconsistent enforcement and poor coordination among government agencies, private waste operators and local communities. Where responsibilities are unclear or accountability mechanisms are weak, environmental programmes may fail to achieve their intended objectives. Similarly, inadequate public awareness, limited participation in recycling and the unaffordability or irregularity of waste collection services may encourage households and businesses to adopt inappropriate disposal practices.

Leadership is an important factor in addressing these challenges because leaders influence environmental priorities, resource allocation, institutional coordination, employee motivation, regulatory enforcement and public participation. Effective leadership can promote innovation, establish measurable environmental targets, strengthen collaboration among stakeholders and encourage responsible waste management behaviour. In contrast, weak or ineffective leadership may contribute to poor planning, inadequate supervision, inefficient resource utilisation and a persistent gap between environmental policies and their implementation. Nevertheless, leadership should not be considered the only factor determining waste management outcomes, because its effectiveness may also depend on economic conditions, infrastructure, institutional capacity, technology and public cooperation. The central problem addressed by this study is the need to understand how leadership contributes to sustainable waste management and environmental protection under the conditions commonly experienced in developing countries, especially Nigeria. In

particular, it is necessary to examine whether strategic direction, resource mobilisation, stakeholder engagement, regulatory enforcement and performance monitoring are associated with improved waste management practices and environmental outcomes. Without a clearer understanding of these relationships, policymakers and waste management organisations may continue to implement programmes without sufficient evidence about which leadership practices are most relevant to effective and sustainable results. The study therefore seeks to investigate the relationship between leadership in sustainable waste management and environmental protection, with attention to the institutional, organisational and community-level conditions that may influence performance. The findings are expected to provide evidence that can inform environmental policy, strengthen leadership capacity and support more accountable, inclusive and sustainable waste management systems in Nigeria and comparable developing countries.

Purpose of the Study

i. Examine the relationship between strategic leadership and sustainable waste management performance.
ii. Determine how resource mobilisation and allocation influence the effectiveness of waste management practices.

Research Questions

i. What is the relationship between strategic leadership and sustainable waste management performance?
ii. How do resource mobilisation and allocation influence the effectiveness of waste management practices?

Research Hypothesis

H_0: There is no statistically significant relationship between leadership practices and sustainable waste management performance in environmental protection.

Methodology

This study will adopt a mixed-methods research design to examine leadership in sustainable waste management and environmental protection from a developing-country perspective, with particular reference to Nigeria. The mixed-methods approach will combine quantitative and qualitative data to provide a comprehensive understanding of how leadership practices influence waste management performance and environmental protection. The quantitative component will generate measurable evidence on the relationships between leadership practices, stakeholder participation, resource allocation, regulatory enforcement and sustainable waste management outcomes, while the qualitative component will provide deeper insights into the experiences, perceptions and institutional challenges of individuals involved in waste management activities. Combining both approaches will enable the researcher to examine measurable relationships and understand the practical circumstances that influence environmental management in developing countries. The study will employ a cross-sectional survey design for the quantitative component because data will be collected from selected respondents within a defined period. This design is appropriate for assessing respondents' perceptions of leadership effectiveness, waste management practices and environmental protection without manipulating the variables under investigation. The qualitative component will involve semi-structured interviews with selected stakeholders who possess relevant experience or responsibilities in environmental governance, waste collection, recycling, sanitation and community development. The interviews will help explain the reasons behind the patterns identified in the survey and provide contextual information on the opportunities and challenges associated with sustainable waste management. The target population will comprise environmental management officials, local government administrators, private waste management operators, recycling businesses, community leaders, environmental advocacy organisations and household representatives in selected Nigerian communities. These categories of respondents will be considered because they participate in, regulate, manage or experience waste management services. To ensure that the study remains manageable and produces meaningful findings, the researcher will select study locations that reflect relevant differences in urban development, waste management infrastructure and institutional capacity. The selected locations and the geographical scope of the research will be clearly defined before data collection so that the findings can be interpreted within the appropriate context.

A multistage sampling procedure will be considered for selecting survey respondents. First, relevant study locations and communities will be selected based on their suitability for investigating leadership and waste

management practices. Second, organisations and stakeholder groups involved in waste management will be identified. Third, eligible respondents will be selected using stratified random sampling where reliable sampling frames are available, while purposive sampling will be used to identify interview participants with specialised knowledge. The final sample size will be determined using the size of the accessible population, the statistical requirements of the proposed analysis, available resources and the expected response rate. The researcher will document the sampling procedures to support transparency and reproducibility. Primary data will be collected through a structured questionnaire and semi-structured interview guide. The questionnaire will contain closed-ended items measured mainly on a five-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree. It will assess strategic leadership, resource mobilisation, stakeholder engagement, regulatory enforcement, performance monitoring, waste collection effectiveness, recycling practices and environmental protection outcomes. The interview guide will explore leadership decisions, institutional coordination, funding constraints, public participation, technological limitations and opportunities for improving waste management. Secondary data will be obtained from relevant government reports, environmental policies, peer-reviewed journal articles and credible institutional publications to provide background information and support interpretation of the primary findings. To establish content validity, the research instruments will be reviewed by experts in environmental management, leadership and research methodology. A pilot study will be conducted among respondents with characteristics similar to those of the main study population but excluded from the final sample. Feedback from the pilot study will be used to improve the clarity, relevance and consistency of the questionnaire. Internal consistency will be assessed using Cronbach's alpha, with a coefficient of approximately 0.70 or above generally considered acceptable for established multi-item scales, subject to the nature and purpose of the measures. Quantitative data will be coded and analysed using appropriate statistical software. Descriptive statistics, including frequencies, percentages, means and standard deviations, will summarise respondents' characteristics and perceptions. Pearson correlation and multiple regression analysis will be considered to examine the relationships between leadership practices and sustainable waste management outcomes, provided that the data satisfy the relevant assumptions. Qualitative interview data will be transcribed, coded and analysed thematically to identify recurring patterns, explanations and differences among stakeholder groups. The qualitative findings will be compared with the quantitative results to identify areas of convergence and disagreement. Ethical principles will guide all stages of the research. Participation will be voluntary, informed consent will be obtained, and respondents' identities and confidential information will be protected. Data will be stored securely and used

only for the stated research purposes, in accordance with applicable ethical requirements and Nigeria's data protection legislation. The study will acknowledge limitations associated with self-reported responses, access to institutional records and the cross-sectional design. Consequently, statistical relationships will be interpreted as associations rather than definitive proof of causation.

RESULTS

Research Question One

What is the relationship between strategic leadership and sustainable waste management performance?

Table .1: Illustrative Pearson Correlation Analysis

Variables	N	Pearson's \(r\)	Sig. (2-tailed)	Decision
Strategic leadership and sustainable waste management performance	100	0.682	0.000*	Significant positive relationship

The illustrative correlation coefficient of 0.682 indicates a moderately strong positive relationship between strategic leadership and sustainable waste management performance. This suggests that stronger leadership practices such as strategic planning, effective supervision, accountability and stakeholder engagement may be associated with better waste reduction, recycling and environmental protection outcomes. However, correlation alone does not establish that leadership causes improved performance.

Research Question Two

How do resource mobilisation and allocation influence the effectiveness of waste management practices?

Table 2: Illustrative Multiple Regression Analysis

Predictor variables	Beta (β)	t-value	Sig. (p)	Decision
Resource mobilisation	0.425	4.120	0.000*	Significant
Resource allocation	0.318	3.080	0.003	Significant

Statistic	Illustrative value
R	0.701
R^2	0.491
Adjusted R^2	0.480
F-statistic	46.78
Significance	$p < .001$

The illustrative results suggest that resource mobilisation (\(\beta = 0.425\)) has a stronger positive association with waste management effectiveness than resource allocation (\(\beta = 0.318\)), assuming both coefficients are standardised and estimated in the same model. The model's \(R^2\) of 0.491 indicates that the two predictors jointly explain 49.1% of the variation in waste management effectiveness in this hypothetical example. This implies that obtaining adequate funding, equipment, personnel and technical support, and allocating those resources appropriately, may contribute to improved waste collection, recycling, disposal and environmental sanitation.

Test of the Null Hypothesis

Null hypothesis (H_0): There is no statistically significant relationship between leadership practices and sustainable waste management performance in environmental protection.

Table 3: Hypothesis Testing

Variable relationship	Pearson's \(r\)	Sig. (p-value)	Significance level	Decision
Leadership practices and sustainable waste management performance	0.682	< .001	0.05	Reject H_0

Decision rule: Reject the null hypothesis if \(p < 0.05\). Otherwise, fail to reject the null hypothesis.

Interpretation: Based on the illustrative results, the p-value is less than 0.05. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected. This indicates a statistically significant positive relationship between leadership practices and sustainable waste management performance in environmental protection. The result suggests that strategic

planning, leadership accountability, employee engagement and stakeholder participation may be important correlates of sustainable waste management performance. The finding establishes an association, not necessarily a causal effect.

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The findings on leadership in sustainable waste management and environmental protection from a developing-country perspective highlight the importance of strategic leadership in improving waste management practices and promoting environmental sustainability. Strategic leadership provides the direction, coordination and accountability required to translate environmental policies into practical actions. In developing countries such as Nigeria, waste management systems often face challenges associated with inadequate infrastructure, limited financial resources, rapid urbanisation, population growth, weak regulatory enforcement and insufficient public awareness. These challenges make effective leadership essential for developing sustainable strategies that address waste generation, collection, transportation, recycling, treatment and final disposal. The relationship between strategic leadership and sustainable waste management performance can be understood through the ability of organisational leaders to establish clear environmental objectives, mobilise stakeholders, monitor implementation and ensure that available resources are directed towards achieving measurable environmental outcomes.

The illustrative Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.682 indicates a moderately strong positive relationship between strategic leadership and sustainable waste management performance. If this coefficient were obtained from the actual research data, it would suggest that stronger strategic leadership practices are associated with better waste management outcomes. Such practices include effective strategic planning, regular supervision, accountability, transparent decision-making, employee engagement and stakeholder participation. These leadership activities can support improvements in waste collection, recycling, environmental sanitation and pollution prevention. Strategic planning enables waste management authorities to establish realistic objectives, identify priority areas and develop long-term interventions rather than relying exclusively on temporary measures to address visible sanitation problems. Effective supervision helps ensure that waste collection schedules are followed, operational standards are maintained and environmental regulations are implemented consistently. Similarly, accountability mechanisms can promote responsible management of public resources and discourage practices that undermine environmental protection. The positive relationship between strategic leadership and sustainable waste

management performance can also be explained by the capacity of leaders to coordinate the activities of government agencies, private waste management operators, recycling companies, community organisations and residents. Waste management is a collective responsibility that requires cooperation among stakeholders whose activities influence waste generation, collection and disposal. Leaders who encourage consultation and participation can improve communication, strengthen public awareness and promote compliance with approved waste disposal practices. For example, community-based environmental campaigns can encourage households to separate recyclable materials, use designated disposal points and avoid indiscriminate dumping. These activities can reduce the volume of waste entering drainage channels and uncontrolled disposal sites while supporting cleaner and healthier communities. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of such initiatives depends on the availability of appropriate infrastructure, consistent service delivery and the willingness of stakeholders to participate. The findings also draw attention to the importance of resource mobilisation and allocation in determining the effectiveness of waste management practices. The illustrative regression coefficients indicate that resource mobilisation has a standardised beta coefficient of 0.425, while resource allocation has a coefficient of 0.318. Assuming these coefficients were obtained from the same regression model using standardised variables, the results would suggest that resource mobilisation has a stronger positive association with waste management effectiveness than resource allocation. This interpretation implies that the ability of waste management organisations to secure adequate financial, human, technological and material resources may be particularly important for improving operational performance. However, the relative importance of the two variables would need to be confirmed using the actual research data, and the difference between the coefficients should not automatically be interpreted as statistically significant without an appropriate test. Resource mobilisation, however, does not guarantee improved environmental performance unless the resources obtained are allocated effectively. Resource allocation determines how available funds, personnel, equipment and technology are distributed among operational priorities. Poor allocation can result in the concentration of equipment in some locations while other communities remain underserved, inadequate maintenance of collection vehicles, insufficient worker training and the underfunding of recycling or treatment facilities. Effective allocation requires managers to assess waste generation patterns, population density, geographical conditions, environmental risks and the operational needs of different communities. Resources should be directed towards priority areas based on evidence and measurable service requirements. For example, densely populated neighbourhoods, markets and locations experiencing persistent illegal dumping may require more frequent collection services and stronger monitoring arrangements. Similarly, investments in

recycling and waste recovery can reduce pressure on disposal facilities while supporting the productive use of recoverable materials.

The illustrative coefficient of determination, $R^2 = 0.491$, suggests that resource mobilisation and resource allocation jointly explain 49.1% of the variation in waste management effectiveness in the hypothetical regression model. If this value were obtained from the actual study, it would indicate that the two predictors collectively account for a substantial proportion of the observed variation in the dependent variable. The remaining 50.9% would represent variation not explained by the predictors in that model, including potentially relevant factors such as institutional capacity, regulatory enforcement, technological development, population growth, public participation and prevailing socioeconomic conditions, as well as random variation and measurement error. The unexplained proportion should not be attributed exclusively to specific omitted factors without further analysis. More importantly, the illustrative R^2 cannot be reported as an empirical finding until the regression model has been estimated using the actual dataset. Onungwe et al. (2023), in their systematic review of the transition to a circular economy in Nigeria's municipal solid waste management system, examined the challenges and opportunities associated with improving waste classification, material recovery and sustainable waste treatment. A circular economy approach emphasises waste prevention, reuse, recycling and the recovery of valuable materials instead of treating all discarded materials as waste requiring final disposal. Implementing this approach requires appropriate infrastructure, technical expertise, investment and coordination among public authorities and private-sector participants. Leadership must therefore ensure that resources are not devoted exclusively to collecting and transporting waste but also support waste reduction, recycling, treatment and resource recovery. The relationship between leadership practices and sustainable waste management performance is also relevant to the formulation and testing of the study's null hypothesis, which states that there is no statistically significant relationship between leadership practices and sustainable waste management performance in environmental protection. Based on the illustrative correlation coefficient of 0.682 and a hypothetical p-value below 0.05, the null hypothesis would be rejected at the five per cent significance level. This decision would indicate a statistically significant positive relationship between leadership practices and sustainable waste management performance, suggesting that organisations with stronger leadership practices tend to report better environmental management outcomes. However, because the statistical values provided are illustrative rather than derived from actual observations, the hypothesis cannot yet be considered empirically tested. The final decision must be based on the p-value obtained from the actual dataset and the

specified statistical procedure. The potential relationship between leadership and environmental performance can also be understood through ethical leadership, employee engagement and organisational responsibility. Leaders who demonstrate integrity, transparency and commitment to environmental objectives can encourage employees to treat sustainable waste management as an essential organisational responsibility. Employees may be more willing to follow waste segregation procedures, report unsafe disposal practices and participate in environmental improvement programmes when management provides adequate guidance, appropriate equipment and consistent supervision. Nwanzu and Babalola (2025) examined ethical leadership, psychological ownership and employees' voluntary pro-environmental behaviour in selected organisations in Delta State, Nigeria. Their research is relevant to the present discussion because employees' willingness to engage in environmentally responsible behaviour can support the implementation of organisational sustainability policies. Nevertheless, employee behaviour is only one dimension of sustainable waste management performance and should not be treated as a substitute for objective measures of waste collection, recycling and environmental quality. Xu and Kang (2025) examined the relationship between specialised state-level waste management authorities and household waste disposal practices in Nigeria. Their study highlights the relevance of institutional arrangements to sustainable waste disposal and draws attention to the influence of economic conditions and regional differences. This perspective suggests that effective environmental management depends not only on individual leadership qualities but also on the institutional structures through which waste management responsibilities are organised and implemented. Specialised authorities may improve coordination and service delivery, but their effectiveness also depends on adequate funding, technical capacity, public cooperation and appropriate regulatory support. These considerations are particularly important in developing countries, where environmental management institutions may operate under substantial resource and infrastructure constraints.

CONCLUSION

Sustainable waste management is an essential component of environmental protection, public health, sustainable urban development and socioeconomic progress, particularly in developing countries where rapid population growth, urbanisation, inadequate infrastructure and limited financial resources continue to place pressure on waste management systems. The study highlights the importance of strategic leadership, resource mobilisation and resource allocation in strengthening waste management practices and promoting environmental sustainability. Effective waste management requires more than the collection and disposal

of refuse; it involves a coordinated system of waste prevention, reduction, reuse, recycling, treatment and environmentally responsible final disposal. Achieving these objectives depends on the ability of institutional leaders to establish clear environmental priorities, develop practical strategies, coordinate stakeholders and ensure that available resources are directed towards measurable environmental outcomes.

The discussion demonstrates that strategic leadership provides an important foundation for improving sustainable waste management performance. Through effective planning, supervision, accountability, transparent decision-making and stakeholder engagement, leaders can strengthen institutional coordination and encourage responsible environmental behaviour. Strategic leadership also enables organisations to identify operational challenges, establish performance standards and develop long-term interventions that address the underlying causes of poor waste management. In developing countries, where environmental policies may be constrained by weak implementation arrangements and inadequate institutional capacity, leadership plays an important role in translating policy commitments into practical programmes. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of leadership depends on the availability of appropriate infrastructure, adequate funding, skilled personnel and consistent enforcement of environmental regulations. The study further emphasises the complementary roles of resource mobilisation and resource allocation in determining the effectiveness of waste management practices. Resource mobilisation provides the financial, human, technological and material capacity required to implement waste management programmes, while resource allocation determines how effectively these resources are distributed among competing operational priorities. Adequate funding, functional waste collection vehicles, trained personnel, recycling facilities and appropriate treatment technologies can improve service delivery and reduce the environmental consequences of indiscriminate waste disposal. However, the availability of resources does not automatically guarantee improved performance. Resources must be allocated transparently, managed responsibly and directed towards areas where they can generate meaningful environmental and operational benefits. Consequently, sustainable waste management requires an integrated approach that combines effective leadership with sound financial management, appropriate technology and institutional accountability.

The discussion also highlights the importance of employee participation, community engagement and collaboration among government authorities, private waste management operators, recycling companies, civil society organisations and residents. Environmental protection cannot be achieved through government action alone because the behaviour of households, businesses and other waste generators directly influences

the quantity and composition of waste requiring management. Community participation, environmental education and accessible reporting mechanisms can encourage responsible disposal practices, improve compliance with waste management regulations and strengthen public ownership of environmental initiatives. Furthermore, partnerships between public institutions and private enterprises can support investment in waste collection, recycling, treatment and resource recovery, provided that such arrangements are transparent, environmentally responsible and socially inclusive. The developing-country perspective underscores the need to recognise waste management as both an environmental responsibility and a development priority. Poorly managed waste can contribute to water and soil pollution, blocked drainage systems, flooding risks, environmental degradation and public health hazards. Conversely, effective waste management can promote cleaner communities, improve resource efficiency, support recycling enterprises, create employment opportunities and contribute to a circular economy. These benefits require environmental policies that are supported by adequate resources, effective institutions, measurable performance indicators and sustained political and managerial commitment. Waste management authorities should therefore move beyond reactive waste evacuation towards integrated systems that emphasise waste prevention, recycling, material recovery and environmentally sound disposal.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the discussion of leadership in sustainable waste management and environmental protection from a developing-country perspective, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Government authorities and waste management organisations should strengthen the leadership capabilities of managers responsible for environmental protection and waste management. Leadership development programmes should focus on strategic planning, environmental governance, operational supervision, performance management, accountability and stakeholder coordination. Managers should be equipped with the knowledge and skills required to translate environmental policies into practical programmes with clearly defined objectives, implementation schedules and measurable performance indicators.

2. Federal, state and local governments should improve the mobilisation of financial and material resources for sustainable waste management. Budgetary allocations should reflect the growing volume of waste generated by expanding populations and urban centres. In addition to public funding, waste management

authorities should explore transparent public-private partnerships, environmental grants, responsible private investment and revenue-generating recycling initiatives. Financing arrangements should be based on realistic operational requirements and long-term sustainability rather than short-term interventions. Where waste service charges are introduced, they should be affordable, transparent and supported by reliable service delivery to prevent low-income households from resorting to unsafe disposal practices

3. Waste management institutions should adopt evidence-based resource allocation systems to ensure that available funds, personnel, equipment and technology are distributed according to operational needs and environmental priorities. Decisions should consider population density, waste generation rates, geographical conditions, collection coverage and the severity of existing environmental problems. Priority should be given to communities experiencing persistent waste accumulation, inadequate collection services and recurring illegal dumping. Financial expenditure and equipment utilisation should be monitored regularly to identify inefficiencies and prevent the diversion or misuse of resources.

4. Government agencies responsible for environmental protection should improve the implementation and enforcement of waste management regulations. Clear responsibilities should be established for environmental regulators, local government authorities, private waste operators and other relevant stakeholders to reduce duplication and administrative gaps. Regular inspections, compliance assessments and appropriate sanctions should be applied to individuals and organisations that violate environmental requirements, in accordance with applicable laws. At the same time, regulatory institutions should provide practical guidance to waste management operators and communities to improve compliance.

5. Government authorities and private waste management operators should invest in reliable waste collection vehicles, transfer stations, recycling centres, composting facilities, treatment plants and environmentally sound disposal facilities. Technology investments should be selected according to local conditions, technical capacity, maintenance requirements and affordability. Digital systems can be used to monitor collection routes, track operational performance, record complaints and improve coordination between waste management agencies and service providers.

References

Amin, A., Alabi, A., & Bamidele, A. A. H. (2024). Strategies of environmental protection policies on sustainable waste management systems in Kwara and Oyo States, Nigeria. Journal of Administrative Science, 21(1), 249–274.

Bass, B. M. (1985). Leadership and performance beyond expectations. Free Press.

Burns, J. M. (1978). Leadership. Harper & Row.

Ezeudu, O. B., & Ugochukwu, U. C. (2026). Assessing the contributions of informal waste management sector and its economic potentials in Nigeria: Challenges and opportunities for macro-level circular economy implementation. Waste Management & Research. Advance online publication.

Freeman, R. E. (1984). Strategic management: A stakeholder approach. Pitman.

Moghadam, J., Loch, K., & Niazi, K. K. (2022). Mediating role of leadership-led change in adoption of waste-to-energy in Nigeria. Engaged Management ReView, 5(3), Article 2.

Nwanzu, C. L., & Babalola, S. S. (2025). Impact of ethical leadership and psychological ownership on employees' voluntary pro-environmental behaviour: A case study of selected organisations in Delta State Nigeria. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 27, 16371–16391.

Onungwe, I., Hunt, D. V. L., & Jefferson, I. (2023). Transition and implementation of circular economy in municipal solid waste management system in Nigeria: A systematic review of the literature. Sustainability, 15(16), Article 12602.

Osotuyi, T. B., Zhao, S., Abioye, O. D., Mgbachi, U. P., & Falebita, O. A. (2025). Assessing waste separation behaviour in Nigeria: Implications for post-Covid-19 pandemic waste management strategies. Environmental Research Communications, 7, Article 015020.

Xu, D. (2024). Effect of environmental regulation on sustainable household waste management in Nigeria. Utilities Policy, 91, Article 101823.

Xu, D., & Kang, A. (2025). The administrative mechanism behind sustainable waste disposal practices: Evidence from state-level specific authorities in Nigeria. Growth and Change, 56(2), Article e70029.